UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,758	02/23/2004	Katsunori Kawano	118797	6184	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 07/13/200 RIDGE PLC	,	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1992	28		GIESY, ADAM		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2627	,	
		·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•		·	07/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/782,758		KAWANO ET AL.				
		Examiner	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
		Adam R. Gie	sy	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. cified above, the maximum statutory period vert or extended period for reply will, by statute ffice later than three months after the mailing ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex e, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 June 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 10-17,19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may no Replacement dra	n is objected to by the Examine filed on <u>05 March 2007</u> is/are: of request that any objection to the living sheet(s) including the correct laration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be h tion is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
 12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/782,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims are nonenabling because of undue breadth since the claim is a single means claim and does not appear in combination with another recited element of means. A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to Hyatt is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/782,758 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The structure of the apparatus claimed in claim 18 is unclear since no structure is claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moser et al. (hereinafter Moser Holographic Memory with Localized Recording).

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, and 18 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the previous Office Action, mailed on 1/8/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moser et al. (hereinafter Moser - Holographic Memory with Localized Recording).

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the previous Office Action, mailed on 1/8/2007.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 10-17, 19, and 20 are allowed.

See reasons for indication of allowable subject matter in the previous Office Action, mailed on 1/8/2007.

10. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

See reasons for indication of allowable subject matter in the previous Office Action, mailed on 1/8/2007.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 3/5/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants, on pages 4-5 of the Response filed on 3/5/2007, argue that Moser does not disclose erasing a hologram with a signal light beam and a reference light beam. Examiner respectfully disagrees. In the interview with Applicants on 3/27/2007, the Examiner agreed that the Moser reference did not disclose erasing a hologram with a signal light beam and a reference light beam wherein the signal light beam and the reference light beam both contain random data. Alternatively, the Examiner asserts that

Application/Control Number: 10/782,758 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

that limitation is not found within claim 1. Claim 1 indicates that only the signal light beam holds random pattern data. Moser does disclose an apparatus (see Figure 3 on page 3910) wherein a violet, or signal, beam is crossed with a green (reference) beam in order to selectively erase the hologram (see enlarged portion in Figure 3) wherein the signal beam is run through a spatial light modulator (shown as SLM in Figure 3) which encodes the light with random pattern data (see second column on page 3913; see also second column on page 3912 – note that the reference specifically states that the green [reference] and violet [signal] beams are used together to erase the hologram).

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam R. Giesy whose telephone number is (571) 272-7555. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am- 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne R. Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARG 7/3/2007

SUPERV SORY PATENT EXAMINER